Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is:

2005 Sugar Pine Drive Oakdale, CA 95361.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about November 25, 2011, the aforementioned documents were received by the Respondent as evidence by the Return Receipt provided by the U.S. Postal Service.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4033.
  - 8. Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in the Accusation, finds that the charges and allegations in the Accusation, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,020.00 as of March 2, 2012.

### **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Windy Sue Irby has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 21312 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:
  - a. Respondent violated Business and Professions Code (Code) section 4301, subd. (l) in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to her License and her practice as a pharmacy technician;
  - b. Respondent violated Code section 4301, subd. (h) in that Respondent committed an act involving an alcoholic beverage to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public;
  - c. Respondent violated Code section 4301, subd. (k) in that Respondent was conviction of more than one misdemeanor involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage; and,
  - d. Respondent violated Code section 4301, subd. (g) in that Respondent knowingly made or signed a certificate or other document that falsely represented the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

/// ///

5 | ///

6 || ///

## ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 21312, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Windy Sue Irby, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 5 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This decision shall become effective on July 30, 2012. It is so ORDERED on June 29, 2012. 9 10 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 By 14 STANLEY C. WEISSER 15 Board President 10862869.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SA2011100913 16 Attachment: 17 Exhibit A: Accusation 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

		•		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	,		
2	ARTHUR D. TAGGART			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GEOFFREY S. ALLEN			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 193338			
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255			
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5341			
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Geoffrey.Allen@doj.ca.gov			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFORE THE			
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4033		
13		ACCUSATION		
14	2005 Sugar Pine Dr. Oakdale, California 95361			
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 21312			
16	Respondent.			
17				
18	Complainant alleges:			
19	PARTIES			
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity			
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.			
22	2. On or about January 31, 1997, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician			
23	Registration Number TCH 21312 (License) to Wendy Sue Irby (Respondent). The License was			
24	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April			
25	30, 2012, unless renewed.			
26	///			
27	<i>///</i>			
28	<i>///</i>			

## JURISDICTION

3.	This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		
Business an	nd Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.  Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:  (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.	
	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:	
	1. Suspending judgment.	
	<ol> <li>Placing him or her upon probation.</li> <li>Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one</li> </ol>	
	year. 4. Revoking his or her license.	
	5. Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.	
	Could In the distribution property	
	(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The	
5.	action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:	
	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of	
unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is		
not 1	imited to, any of the following:	
	(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely	
	represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.	
	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be	
	dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice	
	authorized by the license.	
	•••	
	(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic	
	Consumer Business at 4.  5.  unpr misr	

27

28

beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

COST RECOVERY

6. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

7. On or about January 28, 2009, in the Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne, in the case entitled, *People of the State of California v. Windy Sue Irby* (Super. Ct. Tuolumne County, 2009, Case No. CRM28821), Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subd. (a) [driving under the influence of a drug or alcohol], a misdemeanor. On or about January 19, 2010, the Board issued Respondent a Code section 4301 violation letter.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Conviction)

8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subd. (I) in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to her License and her practice as a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

17 18 19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28 | ///

///

On or about October 21, 2010, in the Superior Court of California. County of 9. Stanislaus, in the case entitled, People of the State of California v. Windy Sue Irby (Super. Ct. Stanislaus County, 2010, Case No. 1424483), Respondent was convicted on her plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subd. (b) [driving with a blood alcohol content in excess of 0.08%], a misdemeanor. The circumstances of the crime are that on or about August 16, 2010, Officer Joseph Johnson of the Oakdale Police Department was on patrol and observed a vehicle driven by Respondent roll past the limit line while approaching a stop sign at an intersection. Officer Johnson activated his overhead emergency lights and siren to stop Respondent. Officer Johnson approached Respondent's vehicle to speak with Respondent. Officer Johnson detected a strong odor of alcohol coming from inside Respondent's car. Officer Johnson asked Respondent to exit her vehicle. Officer Johnson observed the Respondent to stumble as she exited her vehicle. Respondent's speech was also slow and slurred. Respondent admitted to drinking alcohol and driving. Officer Johnson performed field sobriety tests upon Respondent. Respondent performed the tests poorly. Based upon Respondent's performance on the field sobriety tests, her statements, and Officer Johnson's observations of Respondent's signs of intoxication, Respondent was placed under arrest for violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subds. (a) [driving under the influence of alcohol] and (b) [driving with a blood alcohol content in excess of 0.08%]. Respondent submitted to a blood alcohol test and her test results were 0.30% and 0.29% blood alcohol content.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subd. (h) in that Respondent committed an act involving an alcoholic beverage to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public by violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subd. (b) [driving with a blood alcohol content in excess of 0.08%]. The circumstances are detailed above in paragraph 9.

# THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Multiple Convictions)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subd. (k) in that Respondent was conviction of more than one misdemeanor involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage. The circumstances are detailed above in paragraphs 7 and 9.

# FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (False Representation)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subd. (g) in that Respondent knowingly made or signed a certificate or other document that falsely represented the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts. The circumstances are that on or about April 27, 2010, when Respondent most recently renewed her License, Respondent signed a statement under penalty of perjury that falsely represented to the Board that she had not been convicted of any crime, but the true state of facts were that Respondent had indeed been convicted on a crime as detailed above in paragraph 7.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 21312, issued to Windy Sue Irby.
- 2. Ordering Windy Sue Irby to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

///

///

25 ///

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
2		
3	DATED: 11/1/11 (harrier Herda)	_
4	VIRGINIA HEROLD  Executive Officer	
5	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	,
6	Complainant	
7	SA2011100913	
8	10718084.docx	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	्र <sub>ा</sub> रहेके <b>्रा</b> १०० क्षेत्रकार वर्ष	
1		